

## UNITED STATES DE TIMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE			FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
	Ø8/851,66	7 05/06/	97	ANDERSON		E		P122
_				LM61/0201	7	EXAMINER		
•	STEPHEN G SULLIVAN			E40176261	·	MOE, A		
		IT TECHNOLO RD STREET	GΥ			ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
	#800	.1112 1111				271	2	10
	SAN JOSE	CA 95112		•		DATE MAILE	): ):	02/01/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

See Attachied.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

## **Advisory Action**

Application No. **08/851,667** 

Applicant(s)

Anderson et al.

Examiner

Aung S. Moe

Group Art Unit 2712



ТН	E PER	IOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]						
	a) 🗌	expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
	b) X expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.							
	date on determi	ension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of ning the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be ed from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.						
	Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).							
		t's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Jan 18, 2000</u> has been considered with the following effect, or deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:						
X	<ul> <li>The proposed amendment(s):</li> <li>will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.</li> <li>will not be entered because:</li> <li>they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).</li> <li>they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).</li> </ul>							
	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.							
		they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
	NO	TE: The proposed amendments to claims 1, 11, 28 and 31 would require further consideration and/or search.						
	□ Ap	oplicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):						
	Newly separ	proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a ate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.						
		ffidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition owance because:						
		ffidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the iner in the final rejection.						
X	For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):  Claims allowed:							
		s objected to:						
		s rejected: 1-31						
		roposed drawing correction filed on has has not been approved by the Examiner.						
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).							
	Other	Werkly Garber ` Supervity Garber ` Supervity Garber ` Fechnology Center 2700						
		- O,						